	Case 2:23-cv-02677-DC-CKD	Document 23	Filed 05/20/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JACQUELINE COLEMAN,	N	o. 2:23-cv-2677-D	C-CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	<u>F</u>	INDINGS AND RE	ECOMMENDATIONS
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO,	et al.,		
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	-			
18	Plaintiff filed a pro se civil complaint and the matter was referred to the undersigned by			
19	Local Rule 302(c)(21) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). On April 2, 2025, plaintiff's amended			
20	complaint was found not to state a claim and was dismissed with leave to amend. (See ECF No.			
21	22.) The undersigned ordered that plaintiff file any amended complaint within 30 days of the date			
22	of that order. ( <u>Id.</u> at 10.) Plaintiff was specifically cautioned that failure to file an amended			
23	complaint or otherwise respond to the order would result in a recommendation that this action be			
24	dismissed. The time granted for plaintiff to file an amended complaint has expired. Plaintiff has			
25	not filed an amended complaint, sought an extension of time to do so, or otherwise communicated			
26	with the court.			
27	In recommending this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, the court has			
28	considered "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to			

## 1 manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring 2 disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives." Ferdik v. 3 Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation omitted). Because plaintiff has not 4 stated a claim for relief and this case cannot move forward without plaintiff's participation, these 5 factors weigh in favor of dismissal. Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED as follows: 6 7 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); and 8 2. The Clerk of the Court be directed to close this case. 9 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 10 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 11 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 12 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections 13 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 14 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. 15 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 16 Dated: May 20, 2025 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 8, cole23cv2677.fta.fr 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Document 23

Filed 05/20/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:23-cv-02677-DC-CKD

28